



Application Information	
Tribunal File Number:	2024-57438
Name of Applicant:	Leah Dyck
Name of Each Respondent:	Simcoe County

2. Describe the evidence or the nature of the evidence to be obtained.

Leah is requesting a list of ALL BMNPHC and SCHC properties within their portfolios since 2008, and that each property include names of ALL shareholders, the number of shares each shareholder has, and the exact date shares were possessed and disposed of for each shareholder. Also provide the business number of each shareholder listed.

Leah also requests a financial breakdown of how government funds were disbursed among ALL Housing Services programs held responsible for by the Municipal Service Manager, for the timeframe between 2008 and Aug. 1, 2024. Leah would also like a list of all the names of Municipal Service Managers for Simcoe County between 2008 and Aug. 1, 2024.

3. Explain why this evidence is necessary to achieve a fair, just and expeditious resolution of the application.

During Leah's time spent running Fresh Food Weekly, she got to know a lot of the respondent's subsidized housing tenants really well. This is how she knows that majority shareholders changed significantly throughout short periods of time, including her own property: 380 Duckworth St. The same thing has happened at 90 Edgehill Dr., within the last few years.

If respondents deprived Leah and any other tenant of programs or services funded by tax-payer dollars, the tenants are entitled to the full value of the programs or services deprived, including the value of ALL additional expenditures tenants incurred as a result. They must also pay for each tenant to have a Family Support Worker assist in identifying the programs/services tenants were deprived of.

4. Describe the efforts already made to obtain the evidence.

The reason this information has not been requested from respondents before is because Leah knew they wouldn't provide it. This information is being requested now because Leah recently became aware that they "over-charged" many subsidized tenants and now Leah wants to know if they're misusing government funds too. If so, these are disallowable costs that must be returned and used for the programs and services they were intended for.

5. Why is an inquiry necessary to gather this evidence?

The respondents have clearly not had fiscal oversight for a very long time.

Leah thinks its about time someone who isn't besties with them, take a look at how they're using government funds because they've already overcharged Leah \$2700 in 2022. If the respondents are willing to dip into the funds of a single mom, why wouldn't they also dip into funds of tax-payers? If they have, why would they willingly provide the evidence now? Leah does not believe for one second that they will start being honest in their dealings with her or with anyone else.

6. Describe the proposed terms of reference.

The respondents shall agree to an audit to be conducted by a third-party of the Tribunal's choosing, and the audit will encompass the time period between Jan. 1, 2008 to Aug. 1, 2024. The respondents shall pay ALL fees associated.

If the respondents are found to have misused tax-payer dollars in any way, then the respondents shall pay the same auditor to audit Leah's charity to receive for her 2022 and 2023 fiscal years. Leah would have paid for audits herself by now if she could afford to, but since she can't, she doesn't have audits. If the respondents want Leah's charity to be audited anyways, regardless of their audit's outcome, Leah agrees. But since she can't afford it, the respondents shall pay for it.