



Application Information	
Tribunal File Number:	2024-57438
Name of Applicant:	Leah Dyck
Name of Each Respondent:	The Barrie Municipal Non-Profit Housing Corp. (BMNPHC), and The Simcoe County Housing Corp. (SCHC)

2. Describe the evidence or the nature of the evidence to be obtained.

Leah is requesting a list of all the respondent's properties, including identification of subsidized units. This list will be used to cross-match other documents requested, which are: lists all the maintenance requests made from ALL units between 2008 and Aug. 1/24, including the dates in which ALL submissions were made, for ALL properties. Another document shall list ALL maintenance requests actually completed, including completion dates, with a breakdown of each individual cost, + total cost. Each tenant who resided in a subsidized unit, regardless of length of tenancy, shall be mailed their entire maintenance work history, by a third-party investigator. This investigation will be done immediately, by a third-party investigator of the Tribunal's choice and paid for by the respondents.

3. Explain why this evidence is necessary to achieve a fair, just and expeditious resolution of the application.

Tenants have told Leah that they don't get flooring underlay when old floors are replaced. Leah wants to know if the maintenance work received by tenants who receive a subsidy are missing structural materials and if these missing structural materials were still charged to tax-payers. If missing materials were charged to tax-payers, Leah also wants to know what happened to the money that was supposed to be used to purchase structural materials that were never provided to tenants. If any misuse of funds are found, that's fraud! Stealing is not only not fair, it's illegal and its listed in the Housing Services Act as a big no no.

4. Describe the efforts already made to obtain the evidence.

Leah would never waste her time again on asking the respondents for anything, even though its their job. The reason this information has not been requested from the respondents before is because Leah knew they would never provide it. This information is being requested now because Leah just became aware that they're stealing from all their subsidized tenants (What else are the respondents covering up?)

5. Why is an inquiry necessary to gather this evidence?

The respondents lie as frequently as they blink. It's a habit they can't get rid of. The first time Leah caught them in a lie was in 2017 or 2018... Leah will request the exact date of this lie in one of her next Form 12's that she intends to provide. But in this form's lie, Ashley Sutherland called Leah on the phone and told Leah that she didn't owe rent that month. So Leah didn't pay rent that month and then Leah received an eviction notice in the mail + a \$175 eviction fee. Leah emailed and called many managers and none of them returned her messages. This is when Leah realized that all phone calls with BMNPHC staff must be recorded because they literally lie about absolutely everything.

6. Describe the proposed terms of reference.

The costs of obtaining this evidence will be incurred by the respondents because of their extensive track record in lying to tenants and to the public through published news articles. Costs include the postage. Additionally, included in the mail to each tenant, a letter will be provided that asks tenants to check and see if all the materials listed in the maintenance work order was provided to them at the time the maintenance work was completed. This letter must also communicate to the reader that if any materials listed in their work order were not provided at the time the order was completed, they must inform the BMNPHC and the SCHC and they must immediately provide the structural materials (and install them) because that's what they've been contracted to provide.